Beyond the ATE: Interpretable Modelling of Treatment Effects over Dose and Time

Julianna Piskorz, Krzysztof Kacprzyk, Harry Amad, Mihaela van der Schaar



van_der_Schaar \LAB

Motivation

RQ: How does the treatment effect τ evolve over time,

depending on the dose, *a*?

- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{P}}$ How long does it typically take for the treatment effect to exceed a clinically relevant *threshold* α ?
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{P}}$ When, on average, does the treatment effect reach its *peak*, and how does this vary with the dose?
- What is the lowest dose ensuring that the effect has a *sustained benefit*?

To answer these question we want to model:

 $\tau_t(a) = \mathbb{E}[Y_t(a) - Y_t(0)]$

Desiderata for a useful model: interpretable, verifiable, editable

SemanticODE for ATE Estimation

Treatment effects are not directly observable!

Dataset: $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{X}_{i}, A_{i}, (T_{i,j}, Y_{i,j})_{j=1}^{m_{i}}\}_{i=1}^{n}$

To use SemanticODE, we construct *surrogate treatment effect:*

 $\tilde{\tau}_t(a) \approx Y_t(a) - Y_t(0)$

- We train a **baseline trajectory model**, using untreated patients with A = 0, and utilising patient characteristics: $Y_t(0) \approx \hat{\varphi}_0(\mathbf{X}, T)$
- For all other patients in the dataset we then construct the surrogate treatment effect:

$$\tilde{\tau}_{i,j} = Y_{i,j} - \hat{\varphi}_0(\mathbf{X}_i, T_{i,j})$$

Direct Semantic Modelling with SemanticODE

Composition map: describes the shape of the trajectory as a function of the dose, a.

Property maps: describe how the key properties of the identified composition (maxima, derivatives, asymptotes) depend on the dose a.

This decomposition allows to easily impose inductive biases and edit the learned solutions to match the domain knowledge!



Numerical Experiments on Synthetic and Semi-Synthetic Datasets



allowing to impose the necessary inductive	SINDy -	2.81 ± 1.04	3.88 ± 5.62	$> 10^{6}$	$> 10^{3}$	0.46 ± 0.12	2.32 ± 0.54
biases, SemanticATE achieves incomparable	WSINDy	0.53 ± 0.21	7.96 ± 3.64	1.31 ± 0.50	5.21 ± 1.27	0.54 ± 0.04	25.16 ± 31.20
performance both in-distribution ($t \in [0,T]$)	NeuralODE	0.50 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.08	0.54 ± 0.12	0.21 ± 0.13	0.39 ± 0.19	1.37 ± 1.15
and out-of-distribution $(t > T)$	XGBoost	0.40 ± 0.15	0.11 ± 0.04	0.43 ± 0.35	0.08 ± 0.02	0.36 ± 0.14	0.20 ± 0.09
and $Out-ot-otstribution (t > 1)$.	PolyReg	0.27 ± 0.06	211.05 ± 82.49	0.34 ± 0.10	197.25 ± 69.20	0.05 ± 0.01	4.31 ± 1.83
	SemanticATE	0.41 ± 0.28	0.04 ± 0.01	0.37 ± 0.29	0.02 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.02

SemanticATE allows to easily impose inductive biases and modify obtained solutions, without sacrificing accuracy! The practitioner can impose known inductive biases (IB) by modifying the set of possible compositions, or directly changing the property maps if they don't agree with domain knowledge

Method	PK-random	PK-real	IHDP-based
Base	0.25 ± 0.09	0.22 ± 0.10	0.04 ± 0.02
Base + IB	0.25 ± 0.10	0.23 ± 0.09	0.06 ± 0.01
Base + IB + edits	0.23 ± 0.08	0.23 ± 0.09	0.08 ± 0.03







This paper

Enjoyed our work? Let's connect!



jp2048@cam.ac.uk, kk751@cam.ac.uk



in/julianna-piskorz, in/krzysztof-kacprzyk